



CONTINUOUS GLUCOSE MONITORS (CGM) EQUITY CHANGE PACKAGE FOR TYPE 2 DIABETES

APRIL 2025

TABLE OF CONTENTS

01. Acknowledgment	2	
02. Introduction	3	
03. How to use this Change Package	3	
04. Background	4	
05. Method/Study Design	6	
06. Key Driver Diagram	8	
07. Project Interventions & Key Learnings	9	
08. Results	10	
09. Summary	15	
10. Appendix A – Clinic Site profiles	16	
11. References	23	

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Ori Odugbesan, qi@tldexchange.org

AUTHORS:

Ori Odugbesan, MD MPH; Timothy Bol; Nicole Rioles; Trevon Wright; CPHQ; Margaret Zupa, MD; Kathryn L. Fantasia, MD MSc; Corinne Aia RD, CDCES, BC-ADM; Rachel Taft MPH, RD, LD, CDCES; David C. Ziemer, MD, MPH; J. Sonya Haw, MD

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

TID Exchange would like to thank:

- Abbott Diabetes Care Inc. for funding the equity project
- The dedicated teams, faculty members, and patient advisors
- The Leona M. and Harry B. Helmsley Charitable Trust for funding the Quality Improvement Collaborative

SUGGESTED CITATION: Ori Odugbesan MD MPH, et al. T1D Exchange. Type 2 Diabetes Continuous Glucose Monitors equity change package. Boston, MA; January 2025.

INTRODUCTION

A Change Package is a document that describes the improvement methodology for a clinical or operational process.

It includes a collection of ideas and resources that have a high likelihood of resulting in system improvements. These ideas have either been tested by a Learning Collaborative, sourced from literature, or developed by experts in the field. The change package is intended to be a pragmatic guide of best practices, testable ideas, tools, and strategies that can be adapted to a new setting, thereby accelerating implementation.

This type 2 diabetes (*T2D*) CGM Equity change package represents shared learning from three adult diabetes centers and members of the T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative(*1,2*). This document aims to summarize lessons learned, provide examples, and share results from a T2D CGM equity-focused quality improvement multi-site project.

How to use this change package

This change package can be used by hospital administrators, clinicians, and other healthcare stakeholders who seek ideas for changes to improve equitable access to Continuous Glucose Monitors (CGM) and other diabetes technologies. To use this change package, review the different tested change ideas with your improvement team and select ideas that can be adapted to your organization. Change ideas outlined can be tested quickly using the Institute of Healthcare Improvement Model for Improvement(3). A change package is best used in combination with other quality improvement methodologies and relevant skills. Clinical centers should consider the following to ascertain readiness to change:

- Alignment with the organization's goals and leadership support.
- A motivated multi-disciplinary team and a change champion.
- The relevance of the project and the desire to implement change.
- Development of specific, measurable, achievable, realistic, time-bound aims.
- Team members with their unique skills to map existing clinical processes identify potential failures and opportunities.

- Organizational willingness to try small tests of change (Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) cycles); adapt what works and abandon or quickly learn lessons from what does not
- A team member with analytic capabilities to measure and display data.
- Infrastructure to spread successful interventions to eligible clinic populations and sustain them over time.

BACKGROUND

The TID Exchange is a Boston-based nonprofit with a mission to improve the outcomes of people with diabetes. The TID Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative (*TIDX-QI*) has 62 pediatric and adult endocrinology centers across the US (*Figure 1*) with 200,000+ patient data. TIDX-QI has the largest registry of patients with TID in the US. In designing the Collaborative, the TID Exchange mobilized endocrinologists, parents/patients with TID, informational technology experts, diabetes educators and other clinical staff, quality improvement experts, and others to design broad "interventions" that can result in the highest impact for patients and lead to improved organizational quality improvement culture(2). Participating organizations receive quality improvement guidance from the TIDX-QI Improvement Coaches(1).

Figure 1: Map of T1D Exchange participating centers



CGM IN T2D Equity Project

Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) is well established for managing type 1 diabetes (T1D) and has shown benefits in improving glycemic control and reducing hypoglycemia. Most published studies have demonstrated the value of continuous glucose monitors (CGM) in type 1 diabetes management(4). CGM has demonstrated benefits for glycemia and quality-of-life outcomes for those with T2D as well and is recommended by clinical practice guidelines(5). However, significant disparities exist in CGM adoption among People with Type 2 Diabetes (PwT2D) despite their known benefits(6,7). Some barriers create gaps in the adoption of CGM among PwT2D. These barriers can be mental and physical; such as not wanting to wear a medical device due to its perceived effects on daily activities, physical pain from sensor insertion, and possible skin reactions at the site of adhesion(8). Other barriers can be financial or caused by insurers' denial of medical devices(9). Due to the cost of these devices, insurance-related barriers may have a more pronounced effect on low-income and historically disadvantaged populations.

TID Exchange QI Collaborative Equity Framework

Participating centers utilized the TIDX-QI Health Equity Framework(10) to plan and test interventions. The following components of the TIDX-QI Health Equity Framework were implemented during the project: baseline analysis for disparities, identifying pain points in



Step 7 Use the decision matrix with equity as a criterion to prioritize improvement ideas Step 1 Review program/project baseline data for existing disparities

Step 4 Identify inequitable processes/pathways

Step 8 Test out one small change at a time the clinical processes, identifying contributing factors to disparities, brainstorming improvement ideas, and testing interventions using the Plan-Do-Study-Act (*PDSA*) cycles, and analyzing results.

> **Step 2** Build an equitable project team

Step 5 Identify how sociodeterminants are contributing to the current outcome

Step 9 Measure and compare results with predictions to identify inequitable practices or consequences **Step 3** Develop equity-focused goals

Step 6 Brainstorm possible improvements

Step 10 Celebrate small wins and repeat the process

STUDY METHODOLOGY

This study was deemed non-human subject research by the Western Institutional Review Board. The study was conducted among three adult diabetes centers in the TID Exchange Quality Improvement Network. Participating centers applied the TIDX-QI Health Equity Framework to increase CGM use equitably among PwT2D. Participating centers tested changes using a series of PDSA cycles to increase the prescription of CGM among PwT2D. Centers shared monthly data with the coordinating center. Aggregate data were collected monthly from January 2023 through December 2024.

– Process maps

A process map is a tool that helps to understand and visualize complex systems and supports the adaptation of improvement interventions (11). All participating centers shared their team's process maps with the coordinating center. A sample process map from a participating center is below (Figure 4). The process maps were different for all participating centers, but there were a few similarities in their clinical workflows. Recurring pain points were categorized into tier 1 and tier 2. This was based on how common the occurrence was with tier 1 being the most common and tier 2 being least common. Tier 1 pain points include providers being responsible for determining which patients will most benefit from personal CGM, scheduling visits with diabetes educators, confusion about which pharmacy or durable medical equipment (*DME*) supplier to send CGM prescriptions to, providers not being aware of CGM approval and/or denial and lag time between prescription and initiation of paperwork. Tier 2 pain points include insurance denials, transportation barriers, and communication issues with patients (*Figure 5*).





Fishbone Diagram

The Fishbone Diagram, also known as the cause-and-effect diagram, is a quality improvement tool used to identify the contributing factors of an issue. It is a useful tool for brainstorming causes and potential solutions to a problem(12).

The Equity Framework describes a Fishbone with an equity component(10). The participating centers used a Fishbone with an equity lens to identify the root causes of disparities in CGM use among PwT2D (Figure 5).

Figure 5: T2D CGM Equity Fishbone

Policies & Procedures

- Insurance Coverage
- Reminders to offer CGM if patients are not interested at first
- Need for prior authorization and follow up
- Lack of designated clinic time for CGM education
- Issues with delivery of supplies through DME Insurance denials/ coverage

Product / Technology

- Automated systems availability
- Technology brand/type
- Expensive payout if the patient does not have insurance coverage
- CGM material can cause skin irritation

Issue: Disparities in utilization of CGM for patients with T2D

Equity

- Low health literacy
- Lack of educational resources in other languages
- Cost of copay
- Provider implicit bias
- Confusion with insurance coverage
- Transportation Barrier

Place and Process

- Need to have an appointment in the clinic to get the process started
- Family not able to get to the clinic Problems with CGM technology
- at home
- Low show rates
- Large uninsured population

People / Staffing

- Provider implicit bias
- Patient refusal
- Confusion with insurance coverage
- Communication barrier
- patient/provider/supplier
- Staffing limitations

– Key Driver Diagram (KDD)

A Key Driver Diagram (KDD) is a Quality

Improvement tool that teams use as a guide to increase the chance of success during their QI journey (13). This diagram is a pictorial illustration of the relationship between the aim statement of the project, the primary drivers that contribute directly to achieving the aim, and the change ideas that influence the primary drivers. The participating centers created a KDD (*Figure 6*) to collaboratively increase CGM use among PwT2D equitably. The center column lists primary drivers that are essential components for the aim to be accomplished. The following drivers were identified for increasing CGM prescriptions.

1. Provider Education // 2. Patient Education // 3. Improve Clinic Process // 4. Address inequities // 5. Partner with Vendors and Payors //



_ Effort-to-Impact Matrix (Pick Tool)

The Effort-to-Impact Matrix is a tool that helps Quality Improvement teams prioritize ideas by evaluating the effort required versus the potential impact(14). It categorizes strategies into four quadrants, such as low-effort, low-impact (e.g., use of CGM posters), and high-effort, low-impact strategies, which may demand significant effort but result in minimal improvements in patient outcomes (e.g., mailing postcards to patients). Low-effort, high-impact strategies should be pursued first for quick wins. This framework aids in making strategic decisions to focus on actions that maximize impact while minimizing unnecessary effort. The participating centers created an Effort-To-Impact-Matrix (*Figure 7*) to prioritize interventions to increase CGM use among PwT2D equitably.



> Figure 7: Effort-to-Impact Matrix Tool



Effort Required to Implement

High

T2D CGM Equity Project Results

TIDX-QI has previously utilized the TIDX-QI Framework to increase CGM use among people with type 1 diabetes in a pilot project(*15*). Across five centers, there was an increase in CGM use by 7% in non-Hispanic whites, 12% in non-Hispanic Blacks, and 15% in Hispanic PwTID median CGM use. The gap between non-Hispanic White and non-Hispanic Black PwTID decreased by 5% (*15*). The results below are from the three centers that participated in the scheduled monthly calls and completed at least ten rapid improvement cycles (*Plan-Do-Study-Act cycles*). We thoughtfully applied the TIDX-10-Step Equity Framework to implement this project. Participating centers tested and scaled interventions using rapid PDSA cycles, and successful changes were scaled and sustained.

Boston Medical Center, Boston

Boston Medical Center (*BMC*) is an academic medical center in Boston and the largest safetynet hospital in New England with >70% of patients coming from historically underserved groups including those who are publicly insured. Approximately 60% of patients are Black, approximately 10% Hispanic, and over 30% have non-English language preferences. BMC is an affiliate of Boston University Chobanian & Avedisian School of Medicine. BMC's adult diabetes program is recognized by the American Diabetes Association with a mission to improve health and quality of life for patients through diabetes management, education, support, advocacy, and research. The team was interested in increasing equity in CGM use for PwT2D. The team tested the following interventions.

• The use of CGM Educational Videos:

The team created a CGM educational video that includes benefits, tips, and tricks and a brief overview of features. The video was uploaded on BMC's website and the team provided QR codes in the examination room for patients and providers to access videos. Videos were recorded in English, Spanish, and Haitian Creole, the 3 most common languages spoken by patients of the clinic.The team also publicized the availability of videos during faculty grand rounds.

Use of CGM Poster in clinic:

The team created English and Spanish language colorful CGM posters which were displayed in clinic waiting rooms to promote patient awareness of and curiosity about CGM, as well as encourage them to discuss it with the diabetes care team.

Technology Navigator and Pharmacy Prior Authorization Support:

The team implemented the use of a technology navigator and pharmacy prior authorization support to help clinicians and patients complete necessary insurance paperwork and assist them with CGM supply through.

Diabetes Website:

The team updated their diabetes website with patient education materials. Patients are encouraged to visit websites. https://www.bmc.org/diabetes/diabetes-education https://www.bmc.org/diabetes/diabetes-educational-videos.

Insurance Reference Table:

The team developed an insurance eligibility reference table to assist clinicians in determining insurance coverage and streamline the CGM prescription process. This reference table was made available in exam rooms and used by faculty when precepting the fellows' clinic. It provides a list of common insurance types (Medicaid, Medicare, Commercial) and details the criteria required for CGM coverage for each.

Barrier assessment questionnaire:

The team developed a questionnaire to assess additional barriers to CGM prescription and distributed it to all clinicians in the practice. Inclusive of endocrinologists, endocrinology fellows, nurses, registered dietitians, nurse practitioners, and clinical pharmacists. This identified future interventions for subsequent testing in PDSA cycles including in-service education for registered dietitians.

BMC increased overall CGM use among PwT2D by 14% (*Figure 8*) and increased CGM use among non-Hispanic Blacks and Hispanics by 15% and 16% respectively over 24 months (*Figure 9, 10*).

Figure 8: BMC % of T2D Patients on CGM





Months



BMC Increased CGM Use By 15% Among NHB

ightarrow Figure 10: BMC % of T2D HIS Patients CGM Use



BMC Increased CGM Use By 20% Among Hispanics

Grady Memorial Hospital, Atlanta

Grady Memorial Hospital is the public safetynet hospital for the city of Atlanta. The hospital serves a large proportion of low-income patients, and the majority of these patients are publicly insured or uninsured. Affiliated with Emory University School of Medicine, the Grady Diabetes Center is the largest outpatient diabetes center in the Southeast, providing diabetes care and education for nearly 14,000 people with diabetes. Over 80% of those who are getting care at Grady's Diabetes Center self-identify as NHB and approximately 1/3 are uninsured. The team tested the following interventions to increase CGM use among PwT2D:

CGM screening questionnaire:

The team developed a one-page CGM screening questionnaire. The questionnaire is used to assess if a patient is currently using CGM or interested in doing so. It also asks about insurance coverage, insulin usage, and the ability to pay out of pocket for CGMs. The CGM Screener was translated into Spanish for Spanish-speaking patients. The screener is reviewed with the patient by the provider who signs the screener.

Clinic Flow:

The CGM Screener was integrated into normal clinic operations. The CGM Screener was given to all new patients and established patients at pre-specified times (*two 3-month intervals per year*). The triaging medical assistant would encourage the patient to fill out the CGM Screener while waiting for the provider. And the providers integrated reviewing these with the patient as part of their routine visit. These CGM Screeners were then collected and reviewed by the QI Team to select patients interested in CGM classes.

In-person CGM classes:

The team developed a basic and advanced CGM curriculum for patients interested in using CGMs. These classes were led by CDCES clinic staff and provided bimonthly. The curriculum was revised with multiple iterations after input from patients and staff. It was created to allow for easy replication by staff in other departments/clinics moving forward. Knowledge was assessed using pre- and post-testing.

CGM Insurance Access Map:

The team created a "CGM insurance access map" to streamline the CGM prescription process. It describes the step-by-step process of how CGMs are ordered by various insurance plans, provides a comprehensive list of insurance types with details of specific CGM options covered by each insurance plan, the most effective DME companies to use, and helps providers to determine what type of CGMs to prescribe depending on the patient's insurance. These access maps require routine updating, which was done by the Diabetes Center staff, specifically the PharmD and RD who were both part of the QI team.

University of Pittsburgh Medical Center (UPMC), Pittsburgh

UPMC is an academic medical center and operates community, and specialty hospitals with more than 8,000 licensed beds, and 800 clinical locations including outpatient sites and doctors' offices. The Division of Endocrinology includes more than thirty clinicians across 9 clinical sites and serves approximately 1,700 adults with type 2 diabetes each month. The population of adults with type 2 diabetes seen at UPMC includes approximately 66% Black adults and 25% white adults, with approximately 2% Hispanic or Latino. Approximately 60% of these patients are commercially insured, the majority by UPMC Health Insurance, as this is an integrated health system; approximately 20% have Medicare and 20% have Medicaid. The team tested the following interventions:



Diabetes technology navigator:

This member of the team handles DME orders and insurance forms for CGM, advises providers on eligibility and coverage, places correct orders to appropriate DME suppliers based on coverage.

The team provides ongoing translation services:

Service is available in-person with prior requests or virtually via phone for both telemedicine and in-person visit.

• The team identified barriers to CGM uptake for T2D patients.

After identifying barriers, the team addressed the barriers.

UPMC increased overall CGM use among PwT2D by 12% (Figure 11).

Figure 11: UPMC % of T2D Patients on CGM

providers to promote CGM uptake.



UPMC Increased CGM Use by 7%

Months

SUMMARY

We thoughtfully applied the TIDX-10-Step Equity Framework in implementing this project. Participating sites tested and scaled interventions using rapid PDSA cycles, and successful changes were scaled and sustained. Although reducing racial inequities is complex, it is achievable with gradual and consistent changes to processes at all levels of care. The following lessons were learned through the project:

- 1. Quality Improvement tools were useful in increasing equitable CGM use in T2D
- 2. Clinic processes and policies are different for participating sites, and interventions can be tailored to the guidelines and procedures in place for successful outcomes
- **3.** Monthly team meetings with multidisciplinary team members are a valuable tool for sharing improvement ideas and to foster learning

- **4.** Staff turnover, burnout, and staff shortages limit the ability of clinical sites to scale up interventions
- 5. Timely data reporting and a dedicated and engaged QI team accelerate the success of QI projects.

п

Clinic	Active PW2D Population	Collaborative Team Members & Roles	Email
Albert Einstein Montefiore, (Adult) Bronx, NY	4,000	Shivani Agarwal, PI Priyanka Mathias	shivani.agarwal@einsteinmed.org pmathias@montefiore.org
Barbara Davis Center, University of Colorado (Adult), Aurora, CO	28	Halis, Akturk, Pl Emma Mason Lisa Meyers	HALIS.AKTURK@CUANSCHUTZ.EDU EMMA.MASON@CUANSCHUTZ.EDU Lisa.meyers@cuanshutz.edu Darya.wodetzki@cuanschutz.edu
Barbara Davis Center, University of Colorado (Pediatric), Aurora, CO	20	G. Todd Alonso, Pl Becca Campbell Claire Zimmerman Katie Thivener Jacqueline Carmer	Guy.alonso@ucdenver.edu REBECCA.2.CAMPBELL@CUANSCHUTZ.EDU claire.zimmerman@cuanshutz.edu katelin.thivener@ucdenver.edu
Boston Medical Center (Adult), Boston, MA	5000	Devin Steenkamp, PI Kathryn Fantasia, T2D PI Catherine Sullivan Elizabeth Brouillard Howard Wolpert Astrid Atakov Castillo Corinne Aia	desteenk@bu.edu Kathryn.Fantasia@bmc.org catherine.sullivan@bmc.org elizabeth.brouillard@bmc.org Howard.Wolpert@bmc.org astrid.atakovcastillo@bmc.org corinne.aia@bmc.org
C.S Mott Children's Hospital Pediatric Diabetes Clinic, Michigan Medicine (Pediatric), Ann Arbor, MI	103	Joyce Lee, PI Michael Wood Ashley Garrity Inas Thomas	joyclee@med.umich.edu mawoodmd@med.umich.edu ashleyna@med.umich.edu inash@med.umich.edu
Children's Hospital of Los Angeles, (Pediatric) Los Angeles, CA	882	Brian Miyazaki, PI Jenny Min Anne Peters Lily Chao	bmiyazaki@chla.usc.edu hmin@chla.usc.edu apeters@chla.usc.edu Lchao@chla.usc.edu
Children's Mercy - Kansas City, (Pediatric) Kansas City, MO	473	Mark Clements, PI Ryan McDonough Malisa McEchen Heather Feingold Stephanie Wurtz Jerin Wurtz Emily DeWit Melissa Newmaster Julie Kincheloe	maclements@cmh.edu rjmcdonough@cmh.edu mbmceachen@cmh.edu hfeingold@cmh.edu swurtz@nemvch.org jwein.wurtz@frontierfarmcredit.com eldewit@cmh.edu mnewmaster@cmh.edu jakincheloe@cmh.edu
Children's National (Pediatric), Washington, DC	182	Shideh Majidi, PI Fran Cogen Lauren Clary Alexis Marie Richardson Jennifer Reilly Bailey Christine Boggen Leanna M. McKenzie Susan Mehlman Amanda Perkins Jody Grundman Sarah Lydia Holly	smajidi4@childrensnational.org LClary@childrensnational.org smajidi4@childrensnational.org amrichards@childrensnational.org jreilly@childrensnational.org BCGOGGIN@childrensnational.org LMCKENZIE@childrensnational.org smelhman@childrensnational.org aperkins@childrensnational.org jgrundman@childrensnational.org sholly@childrensnational.org

Clinic	Active PW2D Population	Collaborative Team Members & Roles	Email
Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center (Pediatric), Cincinnati, OH	3126	Sarah Corathers, PI Nana Hawa Yaya Jones Larry Dolan Amy Grant Carla Allen Jessica Kichler Amanda Sylvester Justin Masterson Molly Neely	Sarah.corathers@cchcm.org Nana.Jones@cchmc.org larry.dolan@cchmc.org Amy.Grant@cchmc.org Carla.Allen@cchmc.org Jessica.Kichler@cchmc.org Amanda.Sylvester@cchmc.org justin@empathoscompany.com Molly.Neely@cchmc.org
Cleveland Clinic (Pediatric), Cleveland, OH	99	Andrea, Mucci, Pl Andrew Lavik Cheryl Switzer Amber Marquardt Alyssa Rowe	SMUCCIA@ccf.org LAVIKA2@ccf.org SWITZEC@ccf.org marquaa2@ccf.org ROWEA4@ccf.org
Cleveland Clinic (Adult), Cleveland, OH	3126	Pratibha Rao, PI Kelly Brake Mary Kellis Youyoiklis Anna Maria Boyd Susan Suglio Arica Hardgrove	raop@ccf.org rakek2@ccf.org vouyiom@ccf.org BOYDA5@ccf.org suglios@ccf.org hardgra@ccf.org
Cook Children's Medical Center (Pediatric) Fort Worth, TX	477	Susan Hsieh, Pl Mouhammad Alwazeer Stephanie Ogburn Candice Williams Paul Thornton	Susan.Hsieh@cookchildrens.org mouhammad.alwazeer@cookchildrens.org Stephanie.Ogburn@cookchildrens.org Candice.Williams@cookchildrens.org Paul.Thornton@cookchildrens.org
Emory University, Children's Healthcare of Atlanta (Pediatric), Atlanta, GA	2175	Kristina Cossen, Pl Catherine Rust	kristina.cossen@emory.edu catherine.rust@choa.org
Emory University, Grady Memorial Hospital, (Adult), Atlanta, GA	N/A	J. Sonya Haw, PI David Ziemer, PI Georgia Davis, PI Alisha Virani Kristi Haman Nicole LittleKatherine Wiley Thayer Idrees Francisco Pasquel	jeehea.sonya.haw@emory.edu dziemer@emory.edu georgia.marie.davis@emory.edu avirani@GMH.EDU KQUAIROLI@gmh.edu nlittle1@gmh.edu thaer.idrees@emory.edu fpasque@emory.edu
Indiana University Health (Pediatric), Indianapolis, IN	165	Tamara Hanon, PI Katie Haberlin-Pittz Elizabeth Moran Lori St. Dennis-Feezle	tshannon@iu.edu khaberli@iupui.edu emoran1@iuhealth.org lstdenni@iuhealth.org

Clinic	Active PW2D Population	Collaborative Team Members & Roles	Email
Johns Hopkins School of Medicine (Adult), Baltimore, MD	5658	Nestoras Mathioudakis, PI, Yilka Valdez Sudipa Sarkar Tom Donner Caitlin Nass Jordan Perlman Adena Goldstein Katie Lacy Eugene Arnold	nmathio1@jhmi.edu yvaldez1@jhu.edu ssarka19@jhmi.edu tdonner1@jhmi.edu cnass1@jhu.edu jperIma4@jhmi.edu agolds21@jhmi.edu klacy3@jhu.edu earnol19@jhmi.ed
Johns Hopkins School of Medicine (Pediatric), Baltimore, MD	167	Risa Wolf, PI Elizabeth Brown Amanda Palmer	RWolf@jhu.edu ebrow122@jhu.edu apalmer2@jhmi.edu
Le Bonheur Children's Hospital, University of Tennessee (Pediatric), Memphis, TN	325	Grace Bazan Nelson, Pl Blake Adams Amit Lahoti Jayme Wasson	gbazan@uthsc.edu Blake.Adams@lebonheur.org No email provided jayme.wasson@lebonheur.org
Le Bonheur Children's Lurie Children's Hospital, (Pediatric), Chicago, IL	575	Naomi Fogel, PI Laura Levin Wendy Perez Mary McCauley Apoorva Aekka Sean DeLacey Maria Chiappetta Paula Petrie Jill Weissberg-Benchell Claire Moore Kelsey Howard	NFogel@luriechildrens.org LLevin@luriechildrens.org weperez@luriechildrens.org Mamccauley@luriechildrens.org aaekka@luriechildrens.org sdelacey@luriechildrens.org mrchiapp@luriechildrens.org Pipetrie@luriechildrens.org jwbenchell@luriechildrens.org clmoore@luriechildrens.org kehoward@luriechildrens.org
Mount Sinai (Adult), New York, NY	7800	Carol Levy, PI David Lam Camilla Levister Suma Gondi Grenye O'Malley Madeleine Rouviere Emily Ellis	carol.levy@mssm.edu david.w.lam@mssm.edu camilla.levister@mssm.edu suma.gondi@mssm.edu grenye.o'malley@mssm.edu madeleine.rouviere@mountsinai.org emily.ellis@mssm.edu
Mount Sinai (Pediatric), New York, NY	40	Meredith Wilkes, Pl Robert Rapaport Jasmine Oh	meredith.wilkes@mountsinai.org robert.rapaport@mountsinai.org jasmine.oh@mssm.edu
Naomi Berrie Center (Adult), Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY	700	Jacqueline Lonier, PI Robin Goland	jyl2122@cumc.columbia.edu rsg2@cumc.columbia.edu
Naomi Berrie Center (Pediatric), Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY	127	Kristen Williams, Pl Mary Farkouch Irina Mera	kmw2160@cumc.columbia.edu mf3498@cumc.columbia.edu im2119@cumc.columbia.edu

Clinic	Active PW2D Population	Collaborative Team Members & Roles	Email
Nationwide Children's Hospital (Pediatric), Columbus, OH	400	Manmohan Kamboj, Pl Justin Indyk Kathryn Obrynba Kajal Gandhi Don Buckingham Travis Wells Alyssa Kramer Emily Klamet Beth Edwards Nicholas Lanno Ming Hong Heather Yardley	Manmohan.Kamboj@Nationwidechildrens.org Justin.Indyk@Nationwidechildrens.org Kathryn.Obrynba@nationwidechildrens.org Don.Buckingham@nationwidechildrens.org Travis.Wells@Nationwidechildrens.org Alyssa.Kramer@nationwidechildrens.org Emily.Klamet@nationwidechildrens.org Beth.Edwards@nationwidechildrens.org Nicholas.Lanno@Nationwidechildrens.org Heather.Yardley@Nationwidechildrens.org
Nemours Childrens Health Jacksonville (Pediatric), Jacksonville, FL	N/A	Monica Mortensen, Pl Jennifer Pfieffer	Monica.Mortensen@nemours.org Jennifer.Pfieffer@nemours.org
Nemours Childrens Health Orlando (Pediatric), Orlando, FL	N/A	Neha Vyas, Pl Kim Rossi	Neha.Vyas@nemours.org Kim.Rossi@nemours.org
Nemours Childrens Health Wilmington (Pediatric), Wilmington, DE	280	Patrick Hanley, PI Ashley Medina	Patrick.Hanley@nemours.org Ashley.Medina@nemours.org
Northwestern Medicine (Adult), Chicago, IL	2900	Grazia Aleppo, PI Stefanie Herrmann Jared Friedman	Grazia.Aleppo@nm.org s-herrmann@northwestern.edu jared.friedman@mn.org
Northwell Health, Cohen Children's Medical Center (Pediatric), Queens, NY	N/A	Jennifer Sarhis, Pl Allison Mekhoubad Aditya Bissoonauth Nella Wardak	JSarhis 13@northwell.edu ABauman@northwell.edu abissoonau@northwell.edu nwardak@northwell.edu
NYU Langone Health (Adult), New York, NY	3684	Lauren Golden, Pl Arita Asani Camila Calistru Roshney Jacob-Issac Julie DeBermont Akankasha Goyal	Lauren.Golden@nyulangone.org Arita.Asani@nyulangone.org Camila.Calistru@nyulangone.org Roshney.Jacob-issac@nyulangone.org Julie.DeBermont@nyulangone.org Akankasha.Goyal@nyulangone.org
NYU Langone Health (Pediatric), New York, NY	N/A	Mary Pat Gallagher, PI Jeniece Ilkowitz Juana Gonzalez Emily Breidbart Aashana Shah Nitsan Shacham	Marypat.Gallagher@nyulangone.org Jeniece.Ilkowitz@nyulangone.org Juana.Gonzalez@nyulangone.org Emily.Breidbart@nyulangone.org Aashna.Shah@nyulangone.org Nitsan.Shacham@nyulangone.org

Clinic	Active PW2D Population	Collaborative Team Members & Roles	Email
NYU Langone Long Island (Pediatric), Mineola, NY	120	Siham Accacha, PI Ulka Kothari Maria Quintos-Alagheband Sheila Dennehy Lori Benzoni Edith Fiore	Siham.Accacha@nyulangone.org ulka.kothari@nyulangone.org maria.quintos-alagheband@nyulangone.org sheila.dennehy@nyulangone.org Lori.Benzoni@nyulangone.org edith.fiore@nyulangone.org
Oregon Health and Science University (Adult), Portland, OR	3000	Farahnaz Joarder, Pl Andrew Ahman Ryan Tweet Brianna Morales	joarder@ohsu.edu ahmanna@ohsu.edu tweet@ohsu.edu moralesb@ohsu.edu
Oregon Health and Science University (Pediatric), Portland, OR	100	Ines Guttmann, Pl Brittany Caswell	guttmann@ohsu.edu caswelbr@ohsu.edu
Rady Children's Hospital (Pediatric), San Diego, CA	300	Carla Demeterco, PI Christy Byer-Mendoza Jennifer Ruiz Michael Gottschalk Kim McNamara Anna Cymbaluk Puja Singh	cdemeterco@rchsd.org cbyer-mendoza@rchsd.org Jenruiz@rchsd.org mgottschalk@rchsd.org kmcnamara@rchsd.org acymbaluk@rchsd.org psingh@rchsd.org
Seattle Children's Hospital (Pediatric), Seattle, WA	433	Malik Faisal, Co-Pl Alissa Roberts, Co-Pl Yasi Mohsenian Meenal Gupta Catherine Pihoker Kathryn Ness Samantha Perez	Faisal.Malik@seattlechildrens.org Alissa.Roberts@seattlechildrens.org Yasi.Mohsenian@seattlechildrens.org Meenal.Gupta@seattlechildrens.org catherine.pihoker@seattlechildrens.org kathryn.ness@seattlechildrens.org Samantha.Perez@seattlechildrens.org
Spectrum Health, Helen DeVos Children's Hospital (Pediatric), Grand Rapids, MI	130	Donna Eng, PI Britini Schipper	donna.eng@spectrumhealth.org Britni.Schipper@spectrumhealth.org
Stanford University (Pediatric), Palo Alto, CA	320	Priya Prahalad, PI David Maahs Mindy Lee Jeannine Leverenz Kim Clash Barry Conrad Melissa Anderson	prahalad@stanford.edu dmaahs@stanford.edu mindylee@stanford.edu jleverenz@stanfordchildrens.org kclash@stanfordchildrens.org barconrad@stanfordchildrens.org melissa@lookfamily.org
Stanford University (Adult), Palo Alto, CA	10,000	Marina Basina, PI Kaveri Bhargava	mbasina@stanford.edu kaverib@stanford.edu
SUNY Upstate, Joslin Center (Adult), Syracuse, NY	3525	Ruth S. Weinstock, PI Marisa Desimone Rachel Hopkins	weinstor@upstate.edu desimoma@upstate.edu hopkinra@upstate.edu

Clinic	Active PW2D Population	Collaborative Team Members & Roles	Email
SUNY Upstate, Joslin Center (Pediatric), Syracuse, NY	178	Roberto Izquierdo, PI, Prashant Nadkarni Cassie Bunker Kathyn Fredenburg	lzquierr@upstate.edu nadkarnp@upstate.edu bunkercm3@gmail.com wowelkok@upstate.edu
Texas Children's Hospital (Pediatric), Houston, TX	904	Daniel DeSalvo, PI Rona Sonabend Sarah Lyons Selorm Dei-Tutu Bonnie McCann-Crosby Curtis Yee Rick Fernandez Guido Alarcon-Mantilla Paola Rondon	Daniel.DeSalvo@bcm.edu rysonabe@texaschildrens.org sarah.lyons@bcm.edu selorm.dei-tutu@bcm.edu mccann@bcm.edu cxyee@texaschildrens.org rfernandez008@bellsouth.net guido.alarconmantilla@bcm.edu pcrondol@texaschildrens.org
University of Alabama at Birmingham (Pediatric), Birmingham, AL	800	Mary Lauren Scott, Co-Pl Jessica Schmidt, Co-Pl Michelle Coulter Nicole Chilton Amanda Reaves Kathleen Hester	mlscott@peds.uab.edu jessicaschmitt@uabmc.edu amcoulter@uabmc.edu nicole.chilton@childrensal.org amanda.reaves@childrensal.org byhishandsdesigns@gmail.com
University of California (Pediatric), San Francisco, CA	N/A	Jenise Wong, PI Barbara Liepman Alison Reed Sonali Belapurkar Angel Nip	jenise.wong@ucsf.edu barbara.liepman@ucsf.edu alison.reed@ucsf.edu sonali.belapurkar@ucsf.edu siuying.nip@ucsf.edu
University of Florida Diabetes Institute Gainesville, FL	200	Laura Jacobsen, PI Sarah Peeling Adriana Saldana Magdalena Gradek	lauraj@ufl.edu smpeeling@peds.ufl.edu adrianasaldana@peds.ufl.edu mgradek@peds.ufl.edu
University Hospitals Rainbow Babies (Pediatric) Cleveland, OH	227	Anna Neyman, Pl Jamie Wood Ramon Adams Quiana Howard	Anna.Neyman2@UHhospitals.org Jamie.Wood@UHhospitals.org Ramon.Adams@uhhospitals.org Quiana.Howard@UHhospitals.org
University Hospitals Adults (Adult) Cleveland, OH	1600	Yumiko Tsushima, PI Natalie Bellini Quiana Howard Betul Hatipoglu	Yumiko.Tsushima@UHhospitals.org Natalie.Bellini@UHhospitals.org Quiana.Howard@UHhospitals.org Betul.Hatipoglu@UHhospitals.org
University of Miami, Miller School of Medicine (Adult) Miami, FL	5223	Francesco Vendrame, Pl Monica Grimaldi Maddison Saalinger Aleida Saenz Monica Mogollon Lauri Deane	FVendrame@med.miami.edu mxg2137@med.miami.edu m.saalinger@umiami.edu asaenz@miami.edu mam861@med.miami.edu lxd757@med.miami.edu

Clinic	Active PW2D Population	Collaborative Team Members & Roles	Email
University. of Miami, Miller School of Medicine (Pediatric) Miami, FL	100	Janine Sanchez, PI Patricia Gomez Judy Ruth Waks Mariaester Makacio Lisandra Hernandez	jsanchez@med.miami.edu PGomez5@med.miami.edu j.waks@med.miami.edu mariaester.makaciom@jhsmiami.org lxh394@med.miami.edu
University of Michigan Adult (Adult) Ann Arbor, MI	N/A	David Broome, Pl Jennifer Iyengar Jennifer Wyckoff Spring Stonebrake	jsanchez@med.miami.edu broomeda@med.umich.edu jmacd@med.umich.edu jwyckoff@med.umich.edu sprstone@med.umich.edu
Penn Medicine, Penn Rodebaugh Diabetes Center (Adult), Philadelphia, PA	N/A	llona Lorincz, Pl Carly Morrison Magdalena Garbacz Mark Shutta	llona.Lorincz@pennmedicine.upenn.edu Carly.Morrison@Pennmedicine.upenn.edu Magdalena.Garbacz@pennmedicine. upenn.edu Mark.Shutta@uphs.upenn.edu
University of Pittsburgh (Pediatric), Pittsburgh, PA	200	Alissa Guarneri, Pl	guarneriam@upmc.edu leungjh@upmc.edu
University of Pittsburgh (Adult), Pittsburgh, PA	10,000	Jason Ng, Pl Margaret Zupa	ngj@upmc.edu zupamf@upmc.edu
University of Texas Southwestern, Dallas, TX		Abha Choudhary, Pl Marsha Mackenzie Katherine Hamilton	Abha.Choudhary@UTSouthwestern.edu MARSHA.MACKENZIE@childrens.com Katherine.Hamilton@childrens.com
University of Utah Intermountain Healthcare (Adult), Salt Lake City, UT	300	Vana Raman, Co-Pl Allison Smego, Co-Pl Janet Sistrins LeAnn Gubler	vana.raman@hsc.utah.edu Allison.Smego@hsc.utah.edu janet.sirstins@imail.org LeAnn.Gubler@imail.org
Washington University School of Medicine (Adult), St. Louis, MO	4,810	Cynthia Herrick, Pl Mary Jane Clifton Becky Sidberry Geoffrey Cislo	herrickc@wustl.edu mclifton@wustl.edu rebeccas@wustl.edu gcislo@wustl.edu
Weill Cornell Medicine (Pediatric), New York, NY	28	Zoltan Antal, Pl Isabel Reckson Emily Coppedge	zoa9003@med.cornell.edu isr2007@med.cornell.edu emp9009@med.cornell.edu
University of Wisconsin (Pediatric), Madison, WI	110	Elizabeth Mann, Pl Whitney Beaton M.Tracy Bekx Rachel Fenske Jaclyn Allen Kimberly Vidmar	eprange@wisc.edu wbeaton@uwhealth.org mtbekx@pediatrics.wisc.edu RFenske@uwhealth.org jallen3@uwhealth.org kvidmar@wisc.edu

References

1. Weinstock, Ruth S., et al. "TID Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative: a learning health system to improve outcomes for all people with type 1 diabetes." *Clinical Diabetes: A Publication of the American Diabetes Association* 39.3 (2021): 251.

2. Alonso GT, Corathers S, Shah A et al. Establishment of the TID Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative(TIDX-QI). Clin Diabetes. 2020 Apr;38(2):141-151. doi: 10.2337/cd19-0032. Erratum in: Clin Diabetes. 2020Jul;38(3):322. PMID: 32327886; PMCID: PMC7164986.

3. Crowl, Ashley, et al. "Accelerating quality improvement within your organization: applying the model for improvement." Journal of the American Pharmacists Association 55.4 (2015): e364-e376.

4. Jackson, Melanie A., Andrew Ahmann, and Viral N. Shah. "Type 2 diabetes and the use of real-time continuous glucose monitoring." Diabetes technology & therapeutics 23.S1 (2021): S-27.

5. Lu J, Ying Z, Wang P, Fu M, Han C, Zhang M. Effects of continuous glucose monitoring on glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2024; 26: 362-372

6. Wallia, A., Agarwal, S., Owen, A.L., Lam, E.L., Bailey, S.C., DeLacey, S.E., Pack, A.P., Espinoza, J., Bright, D., Eggleston, A. and Walter, E., 2024. Disparities in continuous glucose monitoring among patients receiving care in federally qualified health centers. JAMA network open, 7(11), pp.e2445316-e2445316

7. Park, Cindy, and Quang A. Le. "The effectiveness of continuous glucose monitoring in patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review of literature and meta-analysis." Diabetes technology & therapeutics 20.9 (2018): 613-621.

8. Zheng, Mingyue, et al. "Barriers and facilitators of diabetes management by continuous glucose monitoring systems among adults with type 2 diabetes: a protocol of qualitative systematic review." BMJ open 11.10 (2021): e046050.

9. Kruger, Davida F., and John E. Anderson. "Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) is a tool, not a reward: unjustified insurance coverage criteria limit access to CGM." Diabetes technology & therapeutics 23.S3 (2021): S-45.

10. Ebekozien, O., Odugbesan, O., Rioles, N., et al., Equitable post-COVID-19 care: A practical framework to integrate health equity in diabetes management. JCOM 27, no. 6 (2020).

11. Antonacci, G., Lennox, L., Barlow, J. et al. Process mapping in healthcare: a systematic review. BMC Health Serv Res 21, 342 (2021). https://doi.or-g/10.1186/s12913-021-06254-1

12. Shinde, D.D., Ahirrao, S. & Prasad, R. Fishbone Diagram: Application to Identify the Root Causes of Student–Staff Problems in Technical Education. Wireless Pers Commun 100, 653–664 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11277-018-5344-y

13. Picarillo, A.P. Introduction to quality improvement tools for the clinician. J Perinatol 38, 929–935 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41372-018-0100-4

14. Helmke, Sharron. "Where do you start when everything feels urgent? Use an effort-to-impact matrix." The Learning Professional 43.2 (2022): 72-74.

15. Odugbesan, Ori, et al. "Increasing continuous glucose monitoring use for non-Hispanic Black and Hispanic people with type I diabetes: results from the TID Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative Equity Study." Clinical Diabetes 42.1 (2024): 40-48.