

nance

State of Type 1 Diabetes 2023 Osagie Ebekozien, MD, MPH, CHPQ Chief Medical Officer

Disclosures

- Member of the Medtronic Diabetes and Sanofi Advisory Board.
- Research support from Medtronic Diabetes, MannKind Pharmaceutical, Dexcom, Eli Lilly Diabetes, Abbott, Vertex Pharmaceutical, Janssen Pharmaceutical.
- Consultation and speaker fees from Medtronic Diabetes, Sanofi and Vertex.
- All financial support from Industry through my organization T1D Exchange

 T1D Exchange is supported by grants from Leona M and Harry B Helmsley Charitable Trust and JDRF – Thank you!



Objectives

- Demonstrate the importance of collaboration and quality improvement to improve outcomes for people with type 1 diabetes (PwT1D)
- 2. Highlight recent data on the glycemic and adverse outcome for PwT1D.
- 3. Describe practical strategies for improving population-based and reducing inequities for PwT1D.
- 4. Share lessons from a large network for T1D centers (T1DX-QI) committed quality improvement and practice transformation.



The State of Type 1 Diabetes in 2023

• Engaging: QI collaboration is supporting culture change!

• Encouraging: Inequities persists but gaps are reducing!

• **Exciting**: Outcomes are improving for everyone!



Engaging

Collaboration to contribute, benchmark and transform

Driving Equity and Innovation in the T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative: Advancing Outcomes Through Collaborative Change **FREE**

Shivani Agarwal 🔤 🔟 ; Shideh Majidi; Nicole Rioles; Osagie Ebekozien; T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative

Evolution of the T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative (T1DX-QI): Using Real-World Data and Quality Improvement to Advance Diabetes Outcomes Θ

Shideh Majidi 🔤 🐵 ; Nicole Rioles; Shivani Agarwal; Osagie Ebekozien; T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative



Worsening HbA1c outcomes – T1DX Registry 2010/2012 vs 2016/2018



Foster NC, Beck RW, Miller KM, et al. State of Type 1 Diabetes Management and Outcomes from the T1D Exchange in 2016-2018. Diabetes Technol Ther 2019;21(2):66-72, doi:10.1089/dia.2018.0384

Lal RA, Robinson H, Lanzinger S, et al. Temporal Changes in Hemoglobin A1c and Diabetes Technology Use in DPV, NPDA, and T1DX Pediatric Cohorts from 2010 to 2018. Diabetes Technol Ther 2022;24(9):628-634, doi:10.1089/dia.2022.0095

T1DX-QI was established in 2016 to address this challenge





Shivani Agarwal, Shideh Majidi, Nicole Rioles, Osagie Ebekozien. Driving Equity and Innovation in the T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative: Advancing Outcomes Through Collaborative Change Clinical Diabetes 2023.

TID Exchange (TIDX-QI) Network of 55 TID Centers in 22 States



Shivani Agarwal, Shideh Majidi, Nicole Rioles, Osagie Ebekozien. Driving Equity and Innovation in the T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative: Advancing Outcomes Through Collaborative Change Clinical Diabetes 2023.



Engage with PwTID

- Advisory group
- Quality Improvement (QI) Team
- Insights on workflows
- Barriers and concerns
- Communication feedback

Sick Day Management for People with Type 1 Diabetes



Engage to share operational insights

Institutional Barriers to the Successful Implementation of Telemedicine for Type 1 Diabetes Care

Joyce M. Lee; Emma Ospelt Sinam Accacha; Susan Hsieh; Meredith Wilkes; Anna Neyman; Francesco Vendrame; T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative

Study Aims:

1. Describe rate of telemedicine practice among pediatric and adult type 1 diabetes centers 18 months after the start of the COVID19 Pandemic.

2. Assess Institutional barriers to telemedicine implementation.

Key Results:

- 1. Telemedicine rate as of September 2021 was 20% higher than pre-pandemic levels.
- 2. Telemedicine visit decreased by 17% from September 2021 to September 2020.
- 3. Higher institution capacity for supporting telemedicine associated with higher telemedicine use.

Conclusion: A strong institution capacity which includes tested workflows, assigned staff, upload support and institutional goals are associated higher level of telemedicine use by diabetes centers.



Engage to share clinical perspectives

Understanding Providers' Readiness and Attitudes Toward Autoantibody Screening: A Mixed-Methods Study **FREE**

Emma Ospelt S (b); Holly Hardison; Nicole Rioles; Nudrat Noor; Ruth S. Weinstock; Kristina Cossen; Priyanka Mathias; Allison Smego; Nestoras Mathioudakis; Osagie Ebekozien; T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative





Engage to share routine clinical data T1DX-QI centers contributing EMR PwT1D Data (N=82,138)

		<6 yrs	6-13 yrs	13-18 yrs	19-26 yrs	26-50 yrs	50-65 yrs	>65 yrs
Ν		2,618	14,902	30,829	18,026	9,917	3,823	2,023
Sex (Male)	42,078 (51)	1,380 (53)	7,441 (50)	16,371 (53)	9,364 (52)	4,757 (48)	1,817 (48)	948 (47)
Race/Eth								
NH White	51,065 (62)	1,599 (61)	8,929 (60)	18,872 (61)	11,700 (65)	6,021 (61)	2,439 (64)	1,505 (74)
NH Black	10,553 (13)	B 11 (12)	2,004 (13)	4,338 (14)	2,078 (12)	1,155 (12)	505 (13)	162 (8)
Hispanic	9,966 (12)	279 (11)	1,751 (12)	3,751 (12)	2,057 (11)	1,426 (14)	534 (14)	168 (8)
Asian	1,655 (2)	88 (3)	381 (3)	629 (2)	319 (2)	151 (2)	39 (1)	48 (2)
Other	8,899 (11)	341 (13)	1,837 (12)	3,239 (11)	1,872 (10)	1,164 (12)	306 (8)	140 (7)
Private	\frown							
Insurance	41,110 (50)	1,268 (48)	7,144 (48)	15,495 (50)	9,980 (55)	5,165 (52)	1,821 (48)	237 (12)
Mean HbA1c	8.2 (2)	8.1 (1.7)	8.1 (1.8)	8.5 (2.2)	8.3 (2)	7.5 (1.8)	7.6 (1.5)	7.2 (1.2)
CGM use*	36, 204 (80)	1,470 (85)	8,973 (85)	14,694 (82)	5,325 (78)	3,598 (70)	1,436 (69)	708 (62)



Engage with EMR Data for benchmarking to understand variations



Priya Prahalad, Holly Hardison, Ori Odugbesan, Sarah Lyons, Mohammed Alwazeer, Anna Neyman, Brian Miyazaki, Kristina Cossen, Susan Hsieh, Donna Eng, Alissa Roberts, Mark A. Clements, Osagie Ebekozien, T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative; Benchmarking Diabetes Technology Use Among 21 U.S. Pediatric Diabetes Centers. Clin Diabetes 2023; cd230052.



Engage with EMR data for clinic specific data insights

Making Diabetes Electronic Medical Record Data Actionable: Promoting Benchmarking and Population Health Improvement Using the T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Portal

Ann Mungmode,¹ Nudrat Noor,¹ Ruth S. Weinstock,² Roberto Izquierdo,² Justin A. Indyk,³ Daniel J. DeSalvo,⁴ Sarah Corathers,⁵ Carla Demeterco-Berggen,⁶ Susan Hsieh,⁷ Laura M. Jacobsen,⁸ Allison Mekhoubad,⁹ Halis Kaan Akturk,¹⁰ Anton Wirsch,¹ Mary Lauren Scott,¹¹ Lily C. Chao,¹² Brian Miyazaki,¹² Faisal S. Malik,¹³ Osagie Ebekozien,^{1,14*} Mark Clements,^{15*} and G. Todd Alonso^{10*}



Mungmode A. Making Diabetes Electronic Medical Record Data Actionable: Promoting Benchmarking and Population Health Improvement Using the T1D Exchange QI Portal. Clinical Diabetes 2022



Engage with EMR data to understand real world associations Example – technology use and outcomes

	MDI With CGM (N=4825)	MDI Without CGM (2796)	Р
HbA1C,%	8.7 ± 2.1	9.2 ± 2.3	<0.001
Diabetic Ketoacidosis	396 (8)	316 (11)	<0.001
Severe hypoglycemia	137 (3)	115 (4)	0.003

	Insulin Pump With CGM (N=11695)	Insulin Pump Without CGM (N=3127)	Ρ
HbA1C,%	8.1 ± 1.7	8.6 ± 1.8	<0.001
Diabetic Ketoacidosis	556 (5)	322 (10)	<0.001
Severe hypoglycemia	180 (2)	76 (2)	0.004

Gandhi, K, Ebekozien, O, Noor, N, McDonough, R, Hsieh, S, Miyazaki, B, Dei-Tutu, Golden, L, Desimone, M, Hardison, H, Rompicherla, S, Akturk, H.K., Kamboj, M. Insulin Pump Utilization 2017-2021 for over 22,000 Children and Adult with Type 1 Diabetes: Multi-Center Observational Study. Clinical Diabetes 2023.



EMR data for real world population health studies

Example - Crossover Study on switching from CGM to Blood Glucose Monitoring (BGM)



Nudrat Noor. An Observational Crossover Study of People Using Real-Time Continuous Glucose Monitors Versus Self-Monitoring of Blood Glucose: Real-World Evidence Using EMR Data From More Than 12,000 People With Type 1 Diabetes. Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology 2023



EMR data can support routine clinical changes

Ex - Beginning CGM early post diagnosis associated with better HbA1c



Unpublished data, presented at ADA Scientific Sessions 2023. Recipient of the ADA President Award 2023



EMR Data for benchmarking with other countries

Ex - CGM Use (%) across different regions for children less than 18 years.

Year	Czech Republic (CENDA)	German/ Austria (DPV)	Australia (ADDN)	Denmark (DanDiab kids)	United States (T1DX-QI)
2018	49	60	54	57	26
2019	73	71	64	81	41
2020	84	79	71	90	52
2021	91	83	74	94	68
2022	93	86	74	95	79



The State of T1D is encouraging Inequities continue to exist, but gaps are reducing.

Achieving Equity in Diabetes Research: Borrowing From the Field of Quality Improvement Using a Practical Framework and Improvement Tools

Osagie Ebekozien,^{1,2} Ann Mungmode,¹ Don Buckingham,³ Margaret Greenfield,⁴ Rashida Talib,⁵ Devin Steenkamp,⁶ J. Sonya Haw,⁷ Ori Odugbesan,¹ Michael Harris,⁸ Priyanka Mathias,⁹ Jane K. Dickinson,¹⁰ and Shivani Agarwal⁹

Addressing type 1 diabetes health inequities in the United States: Approaches from the T1D Exchange QI Collaborative

Osagie Ebekozien 🔀, Ann Mungmode, Oriyomi Odugbesan, Shideh Majidi, Priya Prahalad, Nudrat Noor, Nicole Rioles, Shivani Agarwal, Ruth S. Weinstock, Robert Rapaport, Manmohan Kamboj, T1DX-QI Collaborative



Inequities start early and persist without Intention efforts



C. Trends in HCLS Use

Note: Percentages are calculated based on a subset of the population for whom HCLS data was available.

Ebekozien O. Longitudinal Trends in Glycemic Outcomes and Technology Use for Over 48,000 People with Type 1 diabetes (2016-2022) from the T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative. Diabetes Technology and Therapeutics 2023



Race/Ethnicity and Insurance are independent contributors to glycemic outcomes

A. Among Minority vs. Non-Hispanic White PwT1D 2021/2022*						
	Minority (Non-Hispanic Black + Hispanic)	Non-Hispanic White	p-value			
N	6607	6607				
Mean HbA1c (SD)	9.2 (2.5)	8.4 (1.9)	< 0.001			
Median HbA1c (IQR)	8.8 (3.5)	8.0 (2.4)	< 0.001			
HbA1c <7% [N (%)]	1257 (19)	1584 (24)	< 0.001			
HbA1c <8% [N (%)]	2314 (23)	3222 (32)	< 0.001			
HbA1c >9% [N (%)]	3048 (31)	1938 (19)	< 0.001			
Odds Ratio HbA1c <7% (95% CI)	Ref	1.34 (1.23, 1.45)	< 0.001			
B. Among Privately vs. Publicly Insur	red PwT1D 2021/2022 **					
B. Among Privately vs. Publicly Insur	ed PwT1D 2021/2022 ** Publicly insured	Privately insured	p-value			
B. Among Privately vs. Publicly Insur N =	red PwT1D 2021/2022 ** Publicly insured 9948	Privately insured 9948	p-value <0.001			
B. Among Privately vs. Publicly Insur N = Mean HbA1c (SD)	Publicly insured 9948 9 (2.3)	Privately insured 9948 7.9 (1.7)	p-value <0.001 <0.001			
B. Among Privately vs. Publicly Insur N = Mean HbA1c (SD) Median HbA1c (IQR)	Publicly insured 9948 9 (2.3) 8.6 (3.1)	Privately insured 9948 7.9 (1.7) 7.5 (2.0)	p-value <0.001 <0.001 <0.001			
B. Among Privately vs. Publicly Insur N = Mean HbA1c (SD) Median HbA1c (IQR) HbA1c <7% [N (%)]	Publicly insured 9948 9 (2.3) 8.6 (3.1) 1918 (19)	Privately insured 9948 7.9 (1.7) 7.5 (2.0) 3180 (32)	p-value <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001			
B. Among Privately vs. Publicly Insur N = Mean HbA1c (SD) Median HbA1c (IQR) HbA1c <7% [N (%)] HbA1c <8% [N (%)]	Publicly insured 9948 9 (2.3) 8.6 (3.1) 1918 (19) 3778 (38)	Privately insured 9948 7.9 (1.7) 7.5 (2.0) 3180 (32) 6101 (61)	p-value <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001			
B. Among Privately vs. Publicly Insur N = Mean HbA1c (SD) Median HbA1c (IQR) HbA1c <7% [N (%)] HbA1c <8% [N (%)] HbA1c >0% [N (%)]	Ped PwT1D 2021/2022 ** Publicly insured 9948 9 (2.3) 8.6 (3.1) 1918 (19) 3778 (38) 4120 (42)	Privately insured 9948 7.9 (1.7) 7.5 (2.0) 3180 (32) 6101 (61) 2007 (20)	p-value <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001			

Table 3: Glycemic Outcomes After Propensity Score Matching

*Matched for age (years), gender (female/male), insurance (public/private)

** Matched for age (years), gender (female/male), race/ethnicity (Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic)

Ebekozien O. Longitudinal Trends in Glycemic Outcomes and Technology Use for Over 48,000 People with Type 1 diabetes (2016-2022) from the T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative. Diabetes Technology and Therapeutics 2023



Diabetes providers' implicit bias contributes to inequities.

- 2022 T1DX-QI published study with 109 providers
- 34% of cohort with implicit bias mediated by race/ethnicity
- 66% of cohort with implicit bias mediated by insurance

	Insurance bias	Р	Race/ethnicity bias	Р
Age	1.03 (0.99, 1.08)	0.06	0.99 (0.96, 1.04)	0.9
Race/ethnicity (NH White)	1.11 (0.48, 2.52)	0.8	0.76 (0.32, 1.79)	0.5
Clinic type (adult)	1.29 (0.56, 3.05)	0.5	1.09 (0.45, 2.53)	0.8
Practice years	1.08 (1.02, 1.16)	$0.02^{\#}$	1.00 (0.95, 1.06)	0.8
Recognize own bias (agree/strongly agree)	1.54 (0.66, 3.57)	0.3	5.25 (1.83, 19.01)	0.004 [#]

TABLE 3. UNADJUSTED ODDS RATIO FOR RACE/ETHNICITY-MEDIATED AND INSURANCE-MEDIATED PROVIDER BIAS

[#]*P*-value <0.05. Bold values indicate statistical significance.



Improving HbA1c private and public insured PwT1D but inequities persist.

Supplemental Figure S4 HbA1c by Insurance Type







Improving HbA1c for all racial/ethnic group but inequities persist



Supplemental Figure S3: Trends in HbA1c by Race-Ethnicity 2016-2022

Ebekozien O. Longitudinal Trends in Glycemic Outcomes and Technology Use for Over 48,000 People with Type 1 diabetes (2016-2022) from the T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative. Diabetes Technology and Therapeutics 2023



Expanding T1D Centers' Capacity to Address Inequities

Current Practices in Operationalizing and Addressing Racial Equity in the Provision of Type 1 Diabetes Care: Insights from the T1DX-QI Health Equity Advancement Lab

Ananta Addala, DO, MPH $\[2mm] \[2mm] \[2mm]$



- T1DX-QI survey data demonstrate that a majority of pediatric and adult clinical centers have undertaken some level of institutional efforts to promote racial equity.
- Pediatric centers (as compared to Adult) were better equipped to address social determinants of health and broader health inequities from an institutional viewpoint.
- We propose a theoretical framework for institutional health equity grounded in the T1D Clinical leadership.

My organization provides resources to address the contributors to inequities



Strategies to reduce inequities and optimize outcomes

Figure 3: Equity Framework





Improved screening for social determinants of health

Increasing Social Determinants of Health Screening Rates Among Six Endocrinology Centers Across the United States: Results From the T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative **FREE**

- Social determinants of health (SDOH) are strongly associated with outcomes for people with type 1 diabetes.
- Six T1DX-QI centers used QI principles to test and expand interventions to improve SDOH screening rates.
- The interventions tested include staff training, a social risk index, an electronic health record patient-facing portal, partnerships with community organizations, and referrals to community resources.
- All centers were successful in improving SDOH screening rates by 70%.







Reduced racial inequities in CGM Use

Clinical PRACTICAL INFORMATION FOR PRIMARY CARE

Increasing Continuous Glucose Monitoring Use for Non-Hispanic Black and Hispanic People With Type 1 Diabetes: Results From the T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative Equity Study [REE]

- The centers used rapid QI cycles to test and expand interventions such as bias training, screening for social determinants of health, and shared decision-making etc.
- After implementation of these interventions, median CGM use increased by 7% in non-Hispanic White, 12% in non-Hispanic Black, and 15% in Hispanic PwT1D.
- The gap between non-Hispanic White and non-Hispanic Black patients decreased by 5%.
- The gap between non-Hispanic White and Hispanic PwT1D decreased by 8%.







Trend in CGM Use by Insurance Type 2016 – 2023*

Inequities gap reducing





Trend in CGM Use by Race/Ethnicity 2016 – 2023*

Inequities gaps reducing





The State of T1D is exciting Outcomes are improving for everyone.

Longitudinal Trends in Glycemic Outcomes and Technology Use for Over 48,000 People with Type 1 Diabetes (2016-2022) from the T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative

Dr. Osagie Ebekozien 🔄, Ms. Ann Mungmode, Dr. Janine Sanchez, Mr. Saketh Rompicherla, Dr. Carla Demeterco Berggren, Dr. Ruth S. Weinstock, Dr. Laura Jacobsen, Dr. Georgia M. Davis, Dr. Alexis M McKee, Dr. Halis Kaan Akturk, Dr. David M Maahs, and Dr. Manmohan K Kamboj

Improving Outcomes for People with Type 1 Diabetes Through Collaboration

Summary of Type 1 Diabetes Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative Studies

Osagie Ebekozien, MD, MPH, CPHQ^{a,b,*}, Ann Mungmode, MPH^a, Holly Hardison, BS^a, Robert Rapaport, MD^c



Information Copyright 2023

To cite this article:

Clinics Review Articles Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinics



T1DX-QI Centers increasing culture and capacity to drive improvement and practice transformation.





Decrease in adjusted adverse outcomes

	2016/2017	2022/2023	P-value
Ν	18302	34549	
DKA events per 100 person years	1.82	1.45	<0.001
SH events per 100 person years	0.4	0.34	0.2
		\smile	



Improvement in HbAlc across all age groups

B. Mean differences in HbA1c by Age and Insurance								
	Public Insurance				Private Insurance			
Age	Mean	Mean	Difference	P-value	Mean	Mean	Difference	P-value
Group	HbA1c	HbA1c	(SE)		HbA1c	HbA1c	(SE)	
	(SD)	(SD)			(SD)	(SD)		
	2016/2017	2021/2022	\frown		2016/2017	2021/2022		
1 to 15	91(20)	89(22)	0 2 (0 01)	<0.001	85(17)	80(17)	0.5 (0.02)	<0.001
years	0.1 (2.0)	0.0 (2.2)	0.2 (0.01)	10.001	0.0 (117)	0.0 ()	0.0 (0.02)	10.001
16 to 25	9.6 (2.4)	9.1 (2.4)	0.5 (0.01)	<0.001	8.8 (2.0)	8.3 (1.9)	0.5 (0.01)	<0.001
years						├		
26 to 40 vears	8.9 (2.0)	8.4 (1.9)	0.5 (0.01)	<0.001	7.6 (1.5)	7.3 (1.5)	0.3 (0.01)	<0.001
40+ vears	8.1 (1.6)	7.8 (1.7)	0.3 (0.02)	<0.001	7.6 (1.2)	7.3 (1.2)	0.3 (0.01)	<0.001



Improvement in Outcomes across all race/ethnic group

A. Adjusted for Age, Gender, Duration of Diabetes, Insurance, Technology							
(CGM, pump, or HCLS) use							
Race/Ethnicity	2016/2017	2021/2022	Mean				
	Adjusted Mean	Adjusted Mean	improvement (SE)				
	[95% CI]	[95% CI]	2016/2017 vs.				
			2021/2022				
Non-Hispanic	[0 7 [0 7 0 0]	00100051	0.5 (0.04)**				
White	0./[0./-0.0]	0.2 [0.2-0.3]	$0.3(0.04)^{11}$				
Non-Hispanic	0 0 10 9 0 01	0 5 [0 2 0 5]	0 4 (0 0 4)**				
Black	9.9 [9.8-9.9]	9.5 [9.3-9.5]	$0.4 (0.04)^{44}$				
Hispanic	9.2 [9.1-9.4]	8.6 [8.4-8.7]	0.6 (0.04)**				
			\setminus /				
			$\langle \rangle$				

Ebekozien O. Longitudinal Trends in Glycemic Outcomes and Technology Use for Over 48,000 People with Type 1 diabetes (2016-2022) from the T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative. Diabetes Technology and Therapeutics 2023



Meaningful and Significant Improvement across the life span



Ebekozien O. Improving Outcomes for people with diabetes through collaboration. Endo Clinics 2023

Ebekozien O. Longitudinal Trends in Glycemic Outcomes and Technology Use for Over 48,000 People with Type 1 diabetes (2016-2022) from the T1D Exchange Quality Improvement Collaborative. Diabetes Technology and Therapeutics 2023



Type 1 Diabetes in 2023 is...

- Engaging: QI collaboration is supporting culture change!
- Encouraging: Inequities persists but gaps are reducing!
- **Exciting**: Outcomes are improving for everyone!



Thank you PwT1D, Helmsley Charitable Trust, JDRF, Industry Partners, T1DX-QI Sites, leaders, team members, Committee Leaders, T1DX Staff



<u>oebekozien@tldexchange.org</u> Twitter: @Ebekozien Connect on LinkedIn

